

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/068,700	LIDICOAT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-Final before interview

 (1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(3) _____.

 (2) James A. Sheridan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2004
Time: 11:55am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *None.*
Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

8, 9, 13-17


Prior art documents discussed:

None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed canceling claims 13-17 for having been withdrawn from consideration as a non-elected invention. Also discussed canceling claims 8 and 9 for being directed to an embodiment separate from that claimed in claim 1, on which they depend.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)